

## Marking Schedule for COTSS–Housing: Grant for Research and Practice Development 2008

<b>Name of applicant:</b>				
<b>Award Ref No:</b>				
<b>Presentation (score out of 5)</b>				
Does the application meet the presentation and submission criteria set out in the Notes for Guidance and Award specific guidance?				
Poor 1	2	Good 3	4	Excellent 5
Comments:				
<b>Content (score out of 25)</b>				
How well does the overall application reflect the purpose of the grant which is to 'support its members to undertake and disseminate their project in the area of housing'?				
Poorly 1	2	3	4	Very well 5
Comments:				
How clearly does the applicant show the necessary skills and support required to undertake the planned work?				
Poorly 1	2	3	4	Very well 5
Comments:				
How well does the applicant's knowledge, interest and experience match the demands of the planned project?				
Unconvincing 1	2	3	4	Authoritative 5
Comments:				
How rigorous is the planned research or project?				
Flawed 1	2	3	4	Very rigorous 5
Comments:				
Does the application demonstrate compliance with ethical principles? (Where ethical approval is not required mark 3.)				
Not at all 1	2	Partially 3	4	Comprehensively 5
Comments:				

<b>Compatibility with COTSSIH Research and Development Plan (score out of 30)</b>				
How effectively would this project develop new researchers / practice developers?				
Not at all		Partially		Comprehensively
1	2	3	4	5
Comments:				
How well does the project fit with priority research topics identified by COT Specialist Section - Housing?				
Not at all		Partially		Comprehensively
1	2	3	4	5
Comments:				
How well does this project encourage partnership working and interagency collaboration?				
Not at all				Very well
1	2	3	4	5
Comments:				
To what extent will the project contribute to housing policy formulation, implementation and/or evaluation?				
Not at all				High impact
1	2	3	4	5
Comments:				
How convincingly does the applicant explain how the project will improve housing practice?				
Vague				Comprehensive
1	2	3	4	5
Comments:				
How SMART (specific, measurable, achievable, realistic, timely) are the targets related to dissemination and implementation?				
Imprecise				Focused
1	2	3	4	5
Comments:				

**Summary of comments and recommendations**

**Recommendation** - Do you think this applicant should receive an Award? (tick below as appropriate)

Yes	May be (subject to comments above)	No	
<b><u>Presentation:</u></b> score out of 5	<b><u>Content:</u></b> score out of 25	<b><u>Compatibility with COT Specialist Section - Housing Research Plan:</u></b> score out of 30	<b><u>TOTAL:</u></b> from a maximum of 60 points

**Assessor's signature:**

**Date:**